The 3d Space-Varying Coefficient Model and its Influence on

Diffusion Tensor Estimation and Fiber Tractography

Susanne Heim

Institute of Statistics Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München

September 28, 2007

Diffusion - biologically

Diffusion - geometrically

Diffusion - mathematically

Diffusion process $\{\mathbf{X}(t) : t_0 \leq t \leq T\}$ with state space \mathbb{R}^3 :

 $d\mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{X}(t))d\mathbf{W}(t),$

where	$\{\mathbf{W}(t), t \ge 0\}$	Wiener process
and	$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{X}(t))$	local diffusion tensor.

Tracking principle:

Diffusion - technically

Brain: $n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3$ voxels indexed by s

Theory:

$$S_i(s) = S_0(s) \exp\left\{-b \mathbf{g}_i \,' \mathbf{D}(s) \mathbf{g}_i\right\}$$

Practice: $S_i(s)$ is noisy, $\mathbf{D}(s)$ has to be estimated

$$y_i(s) = -\frac{1}{b} \log \left(\frac{S_i(s)}{S_0(s)} \right) = \mathbf{x}_i \, \mathcal{B}(s) + \varepsilon_i(s)$$

with
$$\beta(s) = (D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4, D_5, D_6)'(s)$$

 $\mathbf{x}_i = (g_{1i}^2, g_{2i}^2, g_{3i}^2, 2g_{1i}g_{2i}, 2g_{1i}g_{3i}, 2g_{2i}g_{3i})'$
and $\varepsilon_i(s) \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2).$

Diffusion tensor estimation

- So far: voxelwise regression & post-hoc smoothing
- Goal: **one** model for estimation & regularization & interpolation

$$\mathbf{y} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\mathbf{I}_{n} \otimes \mathbf{X}(\cdot, j) \right) \boldsymbol{\beta}_{j} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim N(0, \sigma^{2} I_{rn}),$$

using 3d space-varying coefficients $\beta_j = (\beta_j(1), \dots, \beta_j(n))'$,

$$\mathbf{y} = (y_1(1), \dots, y_r(1), \dots, y_1(n), \dots, y_r(n))',$$

r-dimensional regressor $\mathbf{X}(\cdot, j)$.

Multidimensional B-spline basis functions



Direct approach I

Projection of β_j onto 3d B-splines:

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{j}(s) = \sum_{v=1}^{KLM} (\mathbf{B}_{3} \otimes \mathbf{B}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{B}_{1})(s,v) \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{j}(v) = \mathbf{B}(s,\cdot) \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{j}, \quad j = 1,\ldots, p$$

Estimation of the $(KLMp \times 1)$ - amplitude vector γ by minimizing:

$$\begin{split} Q(\boldsymbol{\gamma},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \|\mathbf{y} - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\mathbf{I}_{n} \otimes \mathbf{X}(\cdot,j)\right) \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{j}\|^{2} + \lambda_{1} \left\| \left(\mathbf{I}_{L} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{M} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{p}\right) \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right\|^{2} \\ &+ \lambda_{2} \left\| \left(\mathbf{I}_{M} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{K} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{p}\right) \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right\|^{2} + \lambda_{3} \left\| \left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{3} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{K} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{L} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{p}\right) \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right\|^{2} \\ &= \left\| \mathbf{y} - \left(\mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbf{X}\right) \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right\|^{2} + \lambda_{1} \left\| \mathbf{P}_{1} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right\|^{2} + \lambda_{2} \left\| \mathbf{P}_{2} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right\|^{2} + \lambda_{3} \left\| \mathbf{P}_{3} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right\|^{2} \end{split}$$

Direct approach II

Solution:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = (\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{P})^{-1}\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{y},$$

where $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbf{X}$ is $(rn \times pKLM)$ -dimensional,

and $\mathbf{P} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{P}_1' \mathbf{P}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{P}_2' \mathbf{P}_2 + \lambda_3 \mathbf{P}_3' \mathbf{P}_3$.



'The devil in disguise'

Realistic scenario: $64 \times 64 \times 24$ voxels with $50 \times 50 \times 19$ knots

- → $4.6 \cdot 10^9$ elements in **B**, i. e. **B** ≈ 37 Gb
- → $50 \times 50 \times 19 \times 6 = 285 \cdot 10^3$ normal equations
- regression diagnostics hard to obtain



software libraries for sparse matrices



R package svcm

Sequential approach

Minimize:

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \left\| \mathbf{y} - (\mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbf{X}) \boldsymbol{\gamma} \right\|^2 + \operatorname{Pen}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}),$$

where the penalty term consists of 15 term of Kronecker products.

- approximation to the direct tensor product approach
- efficient successive computation by exploiting the array structure
- easy computation of the hat matrix



Simulation study

 ${\cal N}=100~{\rm runs}$ corrupted by Gaussian noise

$$\text{VMSE}_{j}(s) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{j}(s) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{j}^{(i)}(s) \right)^{2}$$

 $\log (\text{VMSE}_{\text{method A}}/\text{VMSE}_{\text{method B}}) \in (-\infty, +\infty)$

Methods:

- voxelwise regression + Gaussian kernel (+ linear interpolation)
- B-splines of degree 1 and 2 + first order difference penalties:

direct and successive approach respectively

Error ratio map of one diagonal element



Interim result



lacking local adaptivity

➡ weighted penalization

Interim result





lacking local adaptivity

weighted penalization

'blips' when interpolated

➡ basis exchange

How relevant are the tensor elements?



Focus on fiber reconstruction

- regularization and interpolation
- choice of starting points
- determination of stopping criteria



Regression model with 3d space-varying coefficients

Results	Extensions
published Heim, Fahrmeir, Eilers, Marx (2007, CSDA)	basis exchange to wavelets
implemented <i>R package 'svcm'</i>	confidence intervals
evaluated using DTI data	more complex simulation model
reintegrated into fiber reconstruction	similarity measures, Brownian bridge

Wavelet approach: Motivation



Wavelet approach: Motivation



2d decomposition example

Wavelet regularization

"Hard" thresholding is "keep or kill" strategy:

$$\hat{\theta}_{j} = \eta_{\lambda}^{H}(\hat{\theta}_{j}^{LS}) = \begin{cases} \hat{\theta}_{j}^{LS} & |\hat{\theta}_{j}^{LS}| \geq \lambda \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

"Soft" thresholding is shrinkage:

$$\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{2}^{2} + 2\lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{1} \longrightarrow \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

with solution

$$\hat{\theta}_j = \eta_{\lambda}^S(\hat{\theta}_j^{LS}) = \operatorname{sign}(\hat{\theta}_j^{LS})(|\hat{\theta}_j^{LS}| - \lambda)_+$$



Application to DTI data: A proposal

Recall joint regression model

$$\mathbf{y} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\mathbf{X}(\cdot, j) \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n} \right) \boldsymbol{\beta}_{j} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim N(0, \sigma^{2} I_{rn}).$$

Project each surface β_i onto 3d tensor product wavelets:

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_j = \mathbf{W} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, p$$

Restate the SVCM:

$$\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{X} \otimes \mathbf{W}) \boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon},$$

with **X** of dimension $(r \times p)$, **W** of dimension $(n \times n)$, and γ of dimension $(pn \times 1)$.

Transfer to the wavelet domain

Initial least squares estimation:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{LS} = \left((\mathbf{X} \otimes \mathbf{W})' (\mathbf{X} \otimes \mathbf{W}) \right)^{-1} (\mathbf{X} \otimes \mathbf{W})' \mathbf{y}$$
$$= \left(\underbrace{(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'}_{p \times r} \otimes \mathbf{I}_n \right) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{W}' \mathbf{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{W}' \mathbf{y}_r \end{pmatrix}_{nr \times 1}$$

Alternative formulation of the SVCM:

$$\mathbf{y}_{\mathrm{W}} = (\mathbf{X} \otimes \mathbf{I}_n) \boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{W}},$$

with $\mathbf{y}_{W} = (\mathbf{I}_{r} \otimes \mathbf{W}')\mathbf{y}$ and $\operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{W}) = \operatorname{Var}((\mathbf{I}_{r} \otimes \mathbf{W}')\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}_{rn}.$

Regularization and backsubstitution

Independent thresholding:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_{\lambda_j,j} = \delta_{\lambda_j} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_j^{LS} \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, p$$

Final smooth estimates of the coefficient surfaces:

$$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{j} \;\; = \;\; \mathbf{W} \hat{oldsymbol{\gamma}}_{\lambda_{j},j}$$

- ➡ What is the most suitable wavelet family for DTI data?
- ➡ Which shrinkage rule is appropriate?
- ➡ How can the resolution be increased?